


23-02-08f

TO: THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN  
MILWAUKEE DIVISION



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
Plaintiff, )  
v. ) Case No. 2:22-cv-00621-PP  
Mark Peters, )  
and Rosemary Peters, )  
Respondents. )


**Regarding: The Peter's Motion to Compel Plaintiff for a Full and Complete Discovery Response.**

1. Note- This document cannot be used to create any form of jurisdiction against Mark Peters, and Rosemary Peters, for personal jurisdiction, and territorial jurisdiction, is yet to be proven by the Plaintiff.
2. Note- The Court Administrator of this motion is to take judicial notice of all evidence of law herein incorporated.
3. Note- The Court Administrator of this motion is to give written findings of facts and conclusions of law of all denials as to Respondent's Motion to Compel.
4. The Peter's, Respondents, moves this Court pursuant to Fed R. Civ. P. 33, 34, and 37 for full and complete responses to Respondent's First Discovery Request upon Plaintiff.
5. Respondents (Peter's) moves this Court pursuant to FED. R. CIV. P. 33, 34, and 37 for full and complete responses to First Set of Discovery Request, Interrogatories, and Document Requests, to Plaintiffs.
6. That on or about the date of 09/21/22 the Respondents (Peter's) did mail the ?# individual questions of discovery to Plaintiff.
7. That on or about the date of 09/21/22 the Plaintiff's answer.
8. That Plaintiff's answers have no discovery value, and that Plaintiff's claim they are not required to answer further due to excessiveness (over the 25-question limit). See EXHIBIT 'PR'.
9. The parties engaged in a telephonic meet and confer on February 07, 2023 and were not able to narrow their discovery disputes.
10. That the discovery is straightforward, and that they could have been answered accordingly.
11. WHEREFORE, Respondents (Peters) respectfully requests that this Honorable Court enter an order compelling Plaintiffs to provide full and complete responses to


Interrogatory No. 1 through\_\_?. And also provide all documents requested to supplement, give full transparency, as to the Plaintiff's answered Interrogatories.

12. See accompanying 'Respondants Request for Hearing Date'.

Respectfully submitted;

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Mark Peters", written over a horizontal line.

Mark Peters,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Rosemary Peters", written over a horizontal line.


Rosemary Peters,

#### PROOF of SERVICE

I hereby certify that on February 08, 2023, a true and correct copy of the:

**'The Peter's Motion to Compel Plaintiff for a Full and Complete Discovery Response', with  
'Request for Hearing Date',**

and was filed with the Court Clerk by hand delivery.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Mark Peters", written over a horizontal line.

Mark Peters